1

2

SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD

STATE BAR OF NEVADA

3	3	
,		

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

2021

2223

24

25

FILED
FEB 0 8 2016

STATE BAR OF NEVADA
BY:
OFFICE OF BAR COUNSEL

PUBLIC REPRIMAND

TO: Leslie Mark Stovall, Esq.:

LESLIE MARK STOVALL, ESQ.,

STATE BAR OF NEVADA,

VS.

Complainant,

Respondent

Nevada Bar No. 2566

SG13-0902/ Marcelline I. Curran

Marcelline I. Curran went to your office on December 3, 2012, to seek legal advice about her mother's estate. At the time she met with your non-lawyer assistant Jackie Jackson. At this meeting Ms. Jackson told Ms. Curran that your firm could create a revocable living trust on behalf of Ms. Curran's mother for \$1,250. Ms. Curran agreed to this representation and signed a retention agreement to this effect and paid the requested retainer.

Thereafter Ms. Curran did some research online and found information leading her to conclude as a court appointed guardian, she would need the approval of the guardianship court to set up a revocable trust, and since it would benefit the heirs more than her mother, it would likely be denied.

Ms. Curran contacted your office on December 13, 2012, and requested that they call her back with a timeline for preparing a petition for the court. When she had no response six (6) weeks later, she called again and was advised by a staff member "Lisa C." that the firm "had not prepared any documents." Ms. Curran told Lisa at that point she wanted a refund and the firm was not to proceed with any further work.

Months passed with no contact and no refund. On April 13, 2013, Ms. Curran sent a detailed letter via certified mail to you iterating the foregoing and again demanding a refund which your office refused to provide.

Rule of Professional Conduct (RPC) 5.1 mandates that a "partner in a law firm, and a lawyer who individually or together with other lawyers possesses comparable managerial authority in a law firm, shall make reasonable efforts to ensure that the firm has in effect measures giving reasonable assurance that all lawyers in the firm conform to the Rules of Professional Conduct."

1	Here, you acknowledge that you failed to properly supervise your Pahrump, Nevada office and in that office you had non-lawyer staff providing legal advice as to what services Ms. Curran execute a retainer agreement.		
2			
3	It is incumbent upon a lawyer to ensure that his staff is properly trained as to what they		
4	ethically may or may not do, and in this instance your staff failed to meet those basic ethical obligations. In light of the foregoing, you are hereby PUBICLY REPRIMANDED .		
5	DATED this 3 day of January, 2016.		
6			
7	21/		
8	By: Man		
9	Jeffrey G. Sloane, Esq., Formal Hearing Panel Chair		
10	Southern Nevada Disciplinary Board		
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			