Case Number: OBC15-0562 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 STATE BAR OF NEVADA, 10 Complainant, 11 VS. 12 BRADLEY M. BALLARD, ESQ. STATE BAR NO. 4912 13 Respondent. 14 15 TO: Bradley M. Ballard, Esq. 6440 Sky Pointe Dr., Suite 140-132 16 Las Vegas, Nevada 89131 17 18 19 20

21

22

23

24

25

AUG 19 2016 Dentero

STATE BAR OF NEVADA

NORTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD

PUBLIC REPRIMAND

Cyndie Daley retained you to represent her in a lawsuit pending in the Eighth Judicial District Court. The retainer agreement stated (i) Daley was to pay you a retainer of \$2,500, (ii) your hourly billable rate was \$150, (iii) you would provide Daley with monthly billing statements, and (iv) the retainer funds were to be applied to fees and costs as they were incurred, beginning with the first invoice.

The lawsuit was regarding a car and had been filed by your client's ex-mother-inlaw. Prior to retaining you, Daley had filed an Answer in the lawsuit. When she retained you there was an arbitration deadline looming. Upon retention, you immediately began analyzing Daley's options in defending against the lawsuit and prepared a draft Arbitration Hearing brief. You expended no less than 18 hours of billable time over the course of the first nine days of the representation.

Shortly after Daley retained you, her ex-mother-in-law was convinced to drop the lawsuit by other family members. Daley informed you of the intention to drop the lawsuit and requested a refund of the retainer monies.

Because of the representation that the lawsuit would be dropped, you prepared a Stipulation and Order for Dismissal on Daley's behalf. That Stipulation was signed by the opposing party's counsel and ultimately accepted and filed by the Court on December 3, 2013.

In February, 2014, Daley attempted to contact you to get retainer monies returned.

On February 6, 2014, you e-mailed Daley to apologize for not responding sooner and to explain that the refund would be sent out no later than February 7, 2014.

Daley did not receive the refund by February 12, 2014, and therefore, e-mailed you to ask about the refund monies. You did not respond to the February 12, 2014 e-mail and so, on March 3, 2014, Daley e-mailed you again. You promptly responded to that e-mail and indicated that the check you sent was never cashed, and therefore, you were stopping payment on it and reissuing a check to her. You asked Daley to confirm her address. That same day, Daley replied by e-mail with her address, which was the same address on the Retainer Agreement.

However, you did not send the refund check in March, 2014. You did not reply to Daley's next six e-mails, over the course of three months, that continued to request a status on the refund.

Daley contacted you again, approximately seven months later, and left a message at your office regarding the refund check. On April 1, 2015, you e-mailed Daley to apologize for the delay in resending the refund. You promised to send the refund the next

day, via certified mail to the address previously provided. On April 3, 2015, Daley e-mailed confirming that the address was correct. You e-mailed Daley that same day, stating that the refund had been sent and providing a certified mail tracking number. Daley received, by certified mail, a partial retainer refund check in the amount of \$800.

Daley assumed the refund would be for the entire \$2,500 since she believed she never received any documents from you indicating that she was charged for any work. You provided the State Bar with an Invoice showing you work over 18 hours in August, 2013 and you reported that this invoice was provided to Daley after the services were provided. However, you did not provide Daley with another copy of the invoice in response to her requests for a refund. You represented that, although you earned all of the monies paid, you agreed to provide a partial refund because you felt that Daley would not expect to have paid so much for the services provided and you hoped that a partial refund would remedy her dissatisfaction. However, there is no evidence that you conveyed this to Daley.

This conduct violated Rule 1.4 (Communication) of the Nevada Rules of Professional Conduct ("RPC") because you failed to timely respond to Daley's reasonable inquiries for information and to provide her sufficient information when you did respond to her.

Communication with the State Bar

On or about April 22, 2015, Daley filed a grievance with the State Bar stating that you failed to provide her with pertinent documents relating to her case, monthly billing statements, and did not properly refund the retainer funds. On June 10, 2015, the State Bar provided Daley's complaint to you and requested a written response. No response was received.

On July 14, 2015, the State Bar sent you a copy of the prior correspondence, and indicated that if you failed to provide a response to the State Bar, an investigation would

///

///

///

22 |

24 || ///

be opened and additional proceeding under RPC 8.1 would be considered as a separate disciplinary violation. Although you received the correspondence, you still did not provide a response to the State Bar.

On August 7, 2015, you were notified that the matter would be proceeding to a disciplinary panel. A Complaint in this matter was filed on January 26, 2016. You failed to respond to the Complaint until after you were served with a Notice of Entry of Default. When you did Answer the Complaint, you admitted your failure to timely send the refund, but you failed to provide information regarding the work done on behalf of Daley. You also failed to provide Initial Disclosures by the deadline set in the Order After Initial Case Conference.

These failures to participate in the disciplinary process violated RPC 8.1(b) (Bar Admissions and Disciplinary Matters) and resulted in the disciplinary proceeding taking much longer to resolve.

Mitigating Factors

In mitigation, you were dealing with multiple health and personal issues during this time period, which contributed to your failures to adequately communicate with your client and the State Bar. You also have no prior discipline.

In light of the foregoing, you are hereby PUBLICLY REPRIMANDED for violating RPC 1.4 (Communication) and RPC 8.1 (Bar Admissions and Disciplinary Matters) and ordered to pay \$1,500, plus the State Bar's hard costs of the disciplinary proceeding, within 30 days of the issuance of this Public Reprimand.

DATED this _____ day of #unit/2016.

By: RUSTY GRAF ESQ.
Formal Hearing Panel Chair

Southern Nevada Disciplinary Board