

Case No. SG13-0140

STATE BAR OF NEVADA

BY BOAFFICE OF BAR COUNSEL

SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD $^{\mathrm{EOR}}$	BA
------------------------------------------------------	----

STATE BAR OF NEVADA,
Complainant,)
vs.)
SEAN P. FLANAGAN, ESQ., Bar No. 5304,
Respondent.)

LETTER OF REPRIMAND

TO: SEAN P. FLANAGAN, ESQ. 7251 W. Lake Mead Boulevard Las Vegas, Nevada 89128

- 1. Respondent represented JMS Contractors, Inc., and Shawn Elfberg in *Thomas Fries v. JMS Contractors, Inc., and Shawn Elfberg*, Case No. 60638, pending before the Supreme Court of Nevada ("Supreme Court").
- 2 On November 6, 2012, the Supreme Court issued a Notice to Respondent to file the Answering Brief in the appeal.
- 3. On December 21, 2012, as Respondent had not responded to the Supreme Court's Notice, it issued an Order directing Respondent to pay \$500 to the Supreme Court Law Library within fifteen (15) days and provide the Court with proof of payment.
- 4. The December 21, 2012, Order advised Respondent that if he filed and served the Answering Brief within eleven (11) days, the sanction would be vacated. The Order also put Respondent on notice that if he failed to file the Answering Brief, the Court might treat the

failure as a confession of error pursuant to Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure ("NRAP") 31(d).

- 5. On January 30, 2013, as Respondent had failed to file an Answering Brief or pay the sanction, the Supreme Court issued an Order dismissing the appeal. The Order gave Respondent seven (7) days to pay the sanction, and referred the matter to the State Bar for investigation.
- 6. Respondent explained to the State Bar that his initial failure to pay the \$500 sanction was the result of a calendaring error, as he had mistakenly calendared the sanction as being due on February 7, 2013, instead of January 7, 2013. Respondent also stated that he did not file the Answering Brief at the instruction of his client.
- 7. Respondent has acknowledged that he should have requested to withdraw from the representation rather than ignoring directives of the Supreme Court.
- 8. Respondent paid the sanction to the Supreme Court Law Library on February 5, 2013.
- 9. 16. In light of the foregoing, you violated Rule of Professional Conduct ("RPC") 1.3 (Diligence), RPC 3.4(c) (Fairness to Opposing Party and Counsel: Knowingly disobeying an obligation under the rules of a tribunal), and RPC 8.4(d) (Misconduct: Engaging in conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice), and are hereby PRIVATELY REPRIMANDED.

DATED this Sixth day of February, 2014.

GARY A. PULLIAM, ESQ.

Formal Hearing Panel Chair

Southern Nevada Disciplinary Board