STATE BAR OF NEVADA

Case Number: NG11-0471

STATE BAR OF NEVADA,

SUSAN WASKO, ESQ. STATE BAR NO. 3840,

VS.

Complainant,

Respondent.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

24

PUBLIC REPRIMAND

NORTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD

TO: Susan Wasko, Esq. 206 S. Division St. #4 Carson City NV 89703

On or about September 13, 2008, Mary McCarty met with you to discuss her extensive tax problems which dated back to 1989. McCarty gathered paperwork pertaining to her tax issues, including IRS transcripts, and delivered them to you a month later when she paid you a retainer of seventy-five hundred dollars (\$7,500).

After you were retained by McCarty, communication between you and McCarty became almost non-existent. After not hearing from you in over a year, McCarty sent you a certified letter terminating legal services and requested the return of her file within twenty (20) days. McCarty also requested an accounting of the billable charges and a refund of the unearned portion of the retainer. The certified letter was signed for on December 9, 2010. You explained to the Bar that you did not open the letter because of the holiday season.

A pretrial settlement conference concerning McCarty's case was held in Reno on February 23, 2011. Outside the courtroom, McCarty again advised you that your services

were terminated and she requested the prompt return of her file, an accounting and a refund.

At that time, you told her you would try to have the paperwork out within thirty (30) days.

On August 12, 2008, the United States had moved for an entry of default judgment against McCarty. On October 14, 2008, you prepared and filed an answer to the US Attorney's complaint against McCarty, but you did not move to have the default judgment set aside. McCarty understood that that you would move to set aside the default judgment when you filed the answer.

On April 20, 2011, the Office of Bar Counsel sent a letter of investigation to you along with a copy of the correspondence from McCarty. A grievance file was opened when you did not provide a timely response. A response was eventually received by the Bar on June 10, 2011. In your response, you explained that you were unaware of the default order when you filed the answer for McCarty. With the answer filed, you waited for a response from the attorneys for the Department of Justice ("DOJ").

Also in your response, you assured the Bar that all documents in your possession were returned to McCarty. You further stated that you would require an additional 7-10 business days to provide a supplemental response, including a billing statement. No further correspondence has been received by this office.

When McCarty terminated you in December 2010, you informed the third assigned DOJ attorney that you would no longer be working on McCarty's behalf. The DOJ's response indicated that it might entertain an Offer of Compromise as resolution.

On February 23, 2011, a settlement conference was held in federal court before Judge McQuaid. You made a verbal Motion for Withdrawal as McCarty's attorney which was granted.

Outside the courtroom, McCarty requested that her file be returned to her, which you said you would do within 30 days. McCarty also demanded an accounting and the return of any unused retainer.

In light of the forgoing, you were alleged to have violated Rule of Professional Conduct ("RPC") 1.3 (Diligence), RPC 1.4 (Communication) and RPC 8.1 (b) (Bar Admission and Disciplinary Matters).

As a mitigating factor you did eventually cooperate with Bar Counsel, accepted responsibility for the violations and explained the remedial measures you intend to implement to insure you will not have similar problem in the future.

As part of the agreement for a conditional guilty plea, Bar Counsel has agreed to dismiss the alleged violation of RPC 8.1 (b) Bar Admission and Disciplinary Matters and you have agreed to plead guilty to the charges of RPC 1.3 (Diligence) and RPC 1.4 (Communication). The Panel also directed that you successfully complete two (2) hours of Continuing Legal Education focusing on Ethics and Law Practice Management.

Dated this _______ day of January, 2013.

Craig S. Denney, Esq.

Formal Hearing Panel Chair

Northern Nevada Disciplinary Panel